

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,721	10/28/2003	Jerrel C. Anderson	AD7065 USNA	5537
23906	590 07/19/2005		EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S	
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		D. TE. V. H. ED. 07/10/000	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,721	ANDERSON, JERREL C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. S. Nakarani	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for e, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 (22) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a condition. 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition are accomposition. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and accomposition accomposition and accomposition are accomposition.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Practices Ofted (1 TO-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/2004. 	Paper No(s)/Mai	

Application/Control Number: 10/695,721

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4 are, drawn to an interlayer and a glass laminate, classified in class 428, subclass 441⁺.
- II. Claims 5-7 are, drawn to a process, classified in class 156, subclass 99⁺.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions I and II are independent and distinct from each other because the invention of Group I does not require primer layer formed using primer solution. The invention of Group II does not result in a multilayer interlayer of the invention I as claimed.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Kevin Dobson on June 21, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-4. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 5-7 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,721 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frost et al (U.S. patent 5,932,329).

Frost et al disclose a support film (4) with infrared (IR) light reflecting coating (3) sandwiched between two thermoplastic adhesive layers (5, 6) to form an interlayer. The thermoplastic adhesive such as polyurethane is disclosed. The thermoplastic

Application/Control Number: 10/695,721

Art Unit: 1773

polyurethane does not contain plasticizer. The multilayer interlayer is used to make glass laminate (fig and col. 2 line 24 to col. 3, line 28).

10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frost et al (U.S. Patent 5,932,329) in view of Bolton et al (U.S. Patent 4,906,703).

Frost et al, which has been discussed above in paragraph 9. Further, Frost et al also disclose a thermoplastic adhesive such as polyvinyl butyral. Frost et al also suggest any thermoplastic adhesive known for glass laminate can be considered for the adhesive layer (col. 3, lines 20-25). Frost et al's support film (4) can be a polyethylene terephthalate film, polycarbonate film, acrylate film etc (col. 2 lines 50-54). Frost et al fail to disclose claimed thermoplastic ethylene/unsaturated acid copolymer ionomer known in the glass laminate art.

Bolton et al disclose a glass laminate comprising glass/ionomer resin/plastic/ionomer resin/ glass structure. Bolton et al's plastic can be polycarbonate, polyester such as polyethylene terephthalate and acrylic (col. 6 lines 34-42 and col. 7, lines 49-54). Bolton et al. disclose that thermoplastic polyurethane is expensive (col. 1 lines 52-55) and plasticized polyvinyl butyral adhesive with polycarbonate develops stress cracks (col. 1 lines 35-42). Bolton et al's ethylene/acrylic acid copolymer ionomer solves those problems.

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention made to utilize disclosure of Bolton et al in the invention of Frost et al to make multilayer interlayer and glass laminate using ionomer resin taught by Bolton et al to replace thermoplastic polyurethane and/or polyvinyl butyral adhesive.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,721 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

No claims are allowed.

11. Receipt of Information Disclosure Statement filed April 19, 2004 is acknowledged

and has been made of record.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1512. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. S. Nakarani/af June 2005-06-24

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER

makanani.